

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/629,321	DELANEY ET AL.	

All Participants:

(1) D. L. Jones.

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____.

(2) Bret Field.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 September 2007

Time: 12:10 p.m.

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

Independent claims 1, 25, and 26

Prior art documents discussed:

US 2005/0119746


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.


It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner was made aware of US 2005/0119746 and the fact that it could possibly be interpreted that the claims read on the instant application. However, if the instant application incorporates the phrase 'wherein said water-soluble contrast agent comprises a salt of a radio-opaque element' (claim 4), it would clearly illustrate that the alleged prior art does not read on the instant invention. Thus, Applicant has given the Examiner authorization to cancel claim 4 and incorporate the limitation of claim 4 into independent claims 1, 25, and 26. The Examiner will also correct the claim dependency of claims 6 and 7 to depend upon claim 1.



DAMERON L. JONES
PRIMARY EXAMINER